

PHY 303, I-Semester 2023/24, Tutorial 5

4th Oct 2023

Discuss on your table in AIR. When all teams finished a stage, make sure all students at your table understand the solution and agree on one by using the board.

Stage 1 (Postulates) Consider an arbitrary time-independent Hamiltonian, with eigenvalue problem

$$\hat{H}|\phi_n\rangle = E_n|\phi_n\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where there is no degeneracy (thus $E_n \neq E_m$ for $n \neq m$). The system is in the quantum state

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|\phi_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\phi_2\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|\phi_3\rangle \quad (2)$$

and assume $E_1 = 1$ eV, $E_2 = 2$ eV, $E_3 = 8$ eV.

- Check that the state is correctly normalized.
- We now repeatedly create the state (2) and then measure the energy of the system. Find the expectation value of this measurement, all possible results of a single measurement and the most likely result of a measurement. With which probability will a single measurement result be the same as the expectation value?
- Suppose in a first measurement we have found energy E_2 . Now we do a second measurement on the same system without re-initialising the quantum state. Answer all the questions in (b) again.
- Now consider the Hermitian operator

$$\hat{O} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} o_n (|\phi_{n+1}\rangle\langle\phi_n| + |\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_{n+1}|). \quad (3)$$

with some constants o_n . What is the expectation value of measurements of this operator in the state (2)?

Stage 2 (Commutators) Show the relation (3.41): $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{C} + \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{C}]$. Then evaluate the following commutators:

- $[(m\omega)^2\hat{x}^2 + \hat{p}^2, \hat{x}]$
- Consider operators $\hat{\sigma}_x$, $\hat{\sigma}_y$ and $\hat{\sigma}_z$. Assume a Hilbertspace with only two basis states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$. In this basis, let the matrix representations of those operators be

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma_x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{\underline{\sigma_y}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{\underline{\sigma_z}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Find the matrix representation of all commutators $[\hat{\sigma}_k, \hat{\sigma}_n]$, and try to find a single neat expression for them.

Stage 3 (Uncertainty relations)

- (i) Consider the anharmonic oscillator Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \chi \hat{x}^4. \quad (5)$$

Can one simultaneously know the momentum and energy? If not, what is the uncertainty relation between these two observables?

- (ii) For which of the following tasks can you use the energy-time uncertainty relation (and why or why not)? What does it tell you if yes?
- A harmonic oscillator is in eigenstate $\phi_5(x)$. We want to know the period of oscillations of the complex phase of the wavefunction.
 - A harmonic oscillator is in a superposition of eigenstates $\phi_5(x)$, $\phi_6(x)$ and $\phi_7(x)$. We want to approximate the time-scale of oscillations of the expectation value of momentum $\langle \hat{p} \rangle$.
 - A quantum superposition state at time $t = 0$

$$|\Psi(0)\rangle = \sum_n c_n |\phi_n\rangle \quad (6)$$

contains a superposition of a larger number of basis states as shown in the figure below (left). Because of all the different phase factors $e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}$ in the corresponding time evolving state, the expectation value of some (unspecified) operator $\langle \hat{O} \rangle$ quickly decays to near zero as also shown in the figure (right). However let this be a case where all the energies E_n are rational multiples of each other, then there is a time t_{rev} called “revival time”, where $\langle \hat{O} \rangle$ returns to its initial value because all $e^{-iE_n t_{\text{rev}}/\hbar} = 1$.

You want to know the timescale of this revival and the timescale it takes for $\langle \hat{O} \rangle$ to decay to near zero (answer for both of these separately).

