

Phys 435,I-Semester 2022/23, Assignment 2 solution

Instructor: Sebastian Wüster

(1) Coupled harmonic oscillators: Consider two quantum mechanical harmonic oscillators of mass $m = 1$, described with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}) + \hbar\omega(\hat{b}^\dagger\hat{b} + \frac{1}{2}) + \hbar\kappa(\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a})(\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{b}). \quad (1)$$

where \hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a} are ladder operators for oscillator 1, and \hat{b}^\dagger, \hat{b} for oscillator 2.

This corresponds to the classical Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 + \omega^2 x_1^2) + \frac{1}{2}(p_2^2 + \omega^2 x_2^2) + 2\kappa\omega x_1 x_2. \quad (2)$$

(1a) Writing a quantum state $|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{nm} c_{nm}(t)|n, m\rangle$, where $|n, m\rangle$ are two-oscillator number states as defined at the end of section 2.1. in the lecture, find the time evolution equation for all $c_{nm}(t)$. [3 points]

The equations are

$$i\hbar\dot{c}_{nm}(t) = \hbar\omega(n+m+1)c_{nm}(t) + \hbar\kappa(\sqrt{n(m+1)}c_{n-1,m+1} + \sqrt{(n+1)m}c_{n+1,m-1} + \sqrt{nm}c_{n-1,m-1} + \sqrt{(n+1)(m+1)}c_{n+1,m+1}), \quad (3)$$

(where for the last term each we understand that e.g. $c_{n,m} = 0$ or does not exist, whenever $n < 0$ or $m < 0$).

(1b) Suppose you have solved these, find the reduced density matrix for the first oscillator only, in the state $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ (i.e. in terms of some general $c_{nm}(t)$). [2 points]

First we write the density matrix for a pure two-body state $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ as above, which is

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{nm;n'm'} \rho_{nm;n'm'}(t)|n, m\rangle\langle n'm'| \equiv \sum_{nm;n'm'} c_{nm}c_{n'm'}^*(t)|n, m\rangle\langle n'm'| \quad (4)$$

The we use the definition of the reduced DM for oscillator A as $\hat{\rho}_A = \sum_k (\langle k|_B \hat{\rho} |k\rangle_B)$, where $|k\rangle_B$ is the oscillator basis for only the second oscillator. With this we obtain

$$\rho(t)_A = \sum_{nn'k} \rho_{nk,n'k}|n\rangle_A\langle n'|_A. \quad (5)$$

(1c) Nextly, find the general expression for the purity of that reduced density matrix. [5 points]

From the definition the purity is $P(t) = \text{Tr}_A[\rho(t)_A^2]$. Explicit insertion of (5) gives

$$P(t) = \sum_l \langle l| \left(\sum_{nn'k} \rho_{nk,n'k}|n\rangle\langle n'| \right) \left(\sum_{mm'k'} \rho_{mk',m'k'}|m\rangle\langle m'| \right) |l\rangle \quad (6)$$

(i) We have omitted subscripts A, B , since all states in (6) pertain to oscillator A . (ii) It is very important to use *DIFFERENT* summation indices in the second copy of $\rho(t)_A$ than in the first. We now use $\langle l | n \rangle = \delta_{ln}$, $\langle n' | m \rangle = \delta_{n'm}$ and $\langle m' | l \rangle = \delta_{m'l}$, which removes three of the seven sums and all of the basis vectors. Thus

$$P(t) = \sum_{lk;mk'} \rho_{lk,mk} \rho_{mk',lk'} \quad (7)$$

We can now insert coefficients c , but the expression (7) also suffices.

(2) Numerical solution: Now implement a numerical solution for the time evolution you found in (1a). Implement your equations in the file `Assignment2_program_draft_v1.xm`s provided online. Follow the info-sheet `Numerics_assignments_info.pdf` to run your code once implemented. The code is set up to begin in a product of coherent states initially, with $|\Psi(0)\rangle = |\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle$.

(2a) First, check that normalisation and energy are conserved, using `Assignment2_plot_checks_v1.m`. Then inspect dynamics of the mean phase space coordinates in `Assignment2_plot_oscillations_v1.m` to check they make sense. Also run `Assignment2_classical_osc_v1.xm`s into which you still have to insert the classical Newton's equations following from (2). Finally plot a comparison of quantum expectation values and classical results using `Assignment2_compare_oscillations_v1.m`, and discuss all your results. [5 points]

See solution codes and plots online. Discussion: You should find perfect agreement between the quantum expectation values $\langle x_1 \rangle$, $\langle x_2 \rangle$, $\langle p_1 \rangle$, $\langle p_2 \rangle$ and the corresponding classical results. This is a consequence of the Ehrenfest theorem in quantum mechanics (see e.g. Griffith problem 1.12). This perfect agreement is somewhat special for a harmonic oscillator.

(2b) Implement your result for the purity from (1c) in the code as instructed at the end of the `.xm`s file, and plot this for the same dynamics as in (2a). Then for testing, run it with no coupling $\kappa = 0$ and plot again. What did you expect, what did you find? Discuss. Finally change the initial state for the second oscillator to $(|2\rangle + |5\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ by uncommenting the corresponding lines. Plot the purity also now and discuss [5 points].

When $\kappa = 0$, we have to of course find that $P(t) \equiv 1$, since oscillator A must remain in a pure (reduced) state. For nonzero coupling, we find deviations from 1, meaning the oscillator A entangles with oscillator B . How much it does strongly depends on the initial state of oscillator B , see plots.

(3) Diagonal system and thermal environment: Let us consider a multi-state (indexed by k) system with an environment of M harmonic oscillators ¹, with Hamiltonian

¹Just to be definite, the discussion works for any environment.

$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_{int} + \hat{H}_E$ using

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_S &= \sum_k \epsilon_k |k\rangle\langle k|, \\ \hat{H}_{int} &= \sum_k \kappa_k |k\rangle\langle k| \otimes \hat{E}_k, \\ \hat{H}_E &= \sum_{n=1}^M \hbar\omega_n \hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n.\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where \hat{E}_k can be expressed as some function of the \hat{b}_n .

(3a) Show that the Hamiltonian is block-diagonal in terms of $|k\rangle$. [1 point]

Solution: Let's arrange our basis in the order

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots\}\tag{9}$$

where $B_k = \{|k\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{n}\rangle\}$, for fixed state $|k\rangle$ of the system and \mathbf{n} running through all possible indices of the environment.

Taking an arbitrary vector $|\phi_k\rangle$ from B_k and another one $|\phi_{k'}\rangle$ from $B_{k'}$ with $k \neq k'$, we see that

$$\langle \phi_k | \hat{H} | \phi_{k'} \rangle = 0,\tag{10}$$

since all terms in the Hamiltonian are $\sim |k\rangle\langle k|$. Thus each part of the Hamiltonian with different $|k\rangle\langle k|$ forms a block, let us call that \hat{H}_k , even though each block is still infinite dimensional. Then $\hat{H} = \sum_k \hat{H}_k$.

(3b) Write the thermal state density matrix $\hat{\rho}_{E,T}$ for the environment at temperature T , in terms of many-body oscillator states $|\mathbf{n}\rangle \equiv |n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M\rangle$. [1 point] *Solution: Since*

the environment is just a sum of harmonic oscillators, we can read off the Hamiltonian, that $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ is an eigenstate, with energy $E_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{\ell} \hbar\omega_{\ell} n_{\ell}$. Thus the explicit thermal density matrix is

$$\hat{\rho}_{E,T} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-\frac{\sum_{\ell} \hbar\omega_{\ell} n_{\ell}}{k_B T}} |\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle \mathbf{n}|,\tag{11}$$

with $Z = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-\frac{\sum_{\ell} \hbar\omega_{\ell} n_{\ell}}{k_B T}}$.

(3c) Let $|\Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t)\rangle$ be the many body state of system and environment that arises through time evolution (using Schrödinger's equation) from the initial state $|\phi_{k;\mathbf{n}}(0)\rangle = |k\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{n}\rangle$. Then show that a density matrix of the form

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \sum_{k,k'} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}} \left[c_k c_{k'}^* |\Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t)\rangle\langle \Psi_{k',\mathbf{n}}(t)| \right],\tag{12}$$

fulfills the von-Neumann equation from the separable initial state

$$\hat{\rho}(0) = |\psi_S(0)\rangle\langle\psi_S(0)| \otimes \hat{\rho}_{E,T}, \quad (13)$$

where the initial system state is $|\psi_S(0)\rangle = \sum_k c_k |k\rangle$. Determine $p_{\mathbf{n}}$. [4 points] *Solution:*

Let us first formalise the statement that $|\Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t)\rangle$ arises through time evolution using Schrödinger's equation from the given initial state. This implies:

$$|\Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t)|k\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{n}\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}|k\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{n}\rangle, \quad (14)$$

where \hat{U} is the time evolution operator. Due to the block structure found earlier, we can write

$$\hat{U}(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} = \prod_k e^{-i\hat{H}_k t/\hbar}, \quad (15)$$

where in the second equality we used that $[\hat{H}_k, \hat{H}_{k'}] = 0$. Thus we see that

$$|\Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}_k t/\hbar}|k\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{n}\rangle, \quad (16)$$

i.e. only the “correct block in the Hamiltonian” is going to contribute to the time-evolution of an initial state starting in $|k\rangle$ only.

We know in general, that $\hat{\rho}(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}\hat{\rho}(0)e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$ solves the von-Neumann equation from the initial state (initial density matrix) $\hat{\rho}(0)$. To see this:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\dot{\hat{\rho}}(t) &\stackrel{\text{product rule}}{=} i\hbar \left[\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}\right)e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}\hat{\rho}(0)e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} + e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}\hat{\rho}(0)e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}\right) \right] \\ &= \hat{H}\hat{\rho}(t) - \hat{\rho}(t)\hat{H} = [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Starting from the initial density matrix given in (13), we thus have to find

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} |\psi_S(0)\rangle\langle\psi_S(0)| \otimes \sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}} |\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}| e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar}, \quad (18)$$

with coefficients $p_{\mathbf{n}}$ defined in Eq. (11). We can rewrite this step by step as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k,k'} e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} c_k c_{k'}^* |k\rangle\langle k'| \otimes \sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}} |\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}| e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar}. \\ &= \sum_{k,k'} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}} c_k c_{k'}^* e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} |k; \mathbf{n}\rangle\langle k'; \mathbf{n}| e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar}. \\ &\stackrel{\text{Eq. (15)}}{=} \sum_{k,k'} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}} c_k c_{k'}^* e^{-i\hat{H}_k t/\hbar} |k; \mathbf{n}\rangle\langle k'; \mathbf{n}| e^{i\hat{H}_{k'} t/\hbar}. \\ &\stackrel{\text{Eq. (16)}}{=} \sum_{k,k'} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}} c_k c_{k'}^* |\Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t)\rangle\langle\Psi_{k',\mathbf{n}}(t)|, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which has the form we wanted to reach.

(3d) Derive the reduced density matrix $\hat{\rho}_S$ for the system only, similar to the discussion in section 3.1.4. Suppose there initially was a coherence between two system basis-states, say $k = 0$ and $k' = 1$. Inspect the coherence matrix element $\langle k | \hat{\rho}_S | k' \rangle$ and discuss under which conditions this coherence might disappear? Does it always? Which part of the Hamiltonian decides if it does? How can you interpret the $\sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}}$ part? [4 points]

Solution: Similarly to the discussion in section 3.1.4. we will have to use the basis $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ to perform the trace over the environment explicitly. In preparation for that, we should write

$$|\Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t)\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} d_{\mathbf{m}}^{(k,\mathbf{n})}(t) |k, \mathbf{m}\rangle. \quad (20)$$

This is always possible since the $|\mathbf{m}\rangle$ are a basis of the environmental Hilbertspace. The superscripts $^{(k,\mathbf{n})}$ of the complex coefficients d mean “these are the coefficients for the state which has evolved from $|k; \mathbf{n}\rangle$ initially”. Insertion into (19), and being careful not to name two different summation indices (or summation index vectors) with the same letter, we have

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \sum_{k,k'} \sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} p_{\mathbf{n}} c_k c_{k'}^* d_{\mathbf{m}}^{(k,\mathbf{n})}(t) d_{\mathbf{m}'}^{*(k',\mathbf{n})}(t) |k, \mathbf{m}\rangle \langle k', \mathbf{m}'|, \quad (21)$$

Now we perform the trace over the environment to obtain the reduced density matrix for the system:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}_S(t) &= Tr_E[\hat{\rho}(t)] = \sum_{\mathbf{s}} \langle \mathbf{s} | \sum_{k,k'} \sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} p_{\mathbf{n}} c_k c_{k'}^* d_{\mathbf{m}}^{(k,\mathbf{n})}(t) d_{\mathbf{m}'}^{*(k',\mathbf{n})}(t) |k, \mathbf{m}\rangle \underbrace{\langle k', \mathbf{m}' | \mathbf{s} \rangle}_{= \langle k' | \delta_{\mathbf{m}',\mathbf{s}}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{s}} \sum_{k,k'} \sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} p_{\mathbf{n}} c_k c_{k'}^* d_{\mathbf{m}}^{(k,\mathbf{n})}(t) d_{\mathbf{s}}^{*(k',\mathbf{n})}(t) \underbrace{\langle \mathbf{s} | k, \mathbf{m} \rangle \langle k' |}_{= |k\rangle \delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{s}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where we used the notation $\delta_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}} = \delta_{v_1,w_1} \delta_{v_2,w_2} \cdots \delta_{v_M,w_M}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}_S(t) &= \sum_{k,k'} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}} c_k c_{k'}^* \sum_{\mathbf{s}} d_{\mathbf{s}}^{(k,\mathbf{n})}(t) d_{\mathbf{s}}^{*(k',\mathbf{n})}(t) |k\rangle \langle k'| \\ &\stackrel{Eq. (20)}{=} \sum_{k,k'} c_k c_{k'}^* \sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}} \langle \Psi_{k',\mathbf{n}}(t) | \Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t) \rangle |k\rangle \langle k'| \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and the matrix element $\langle k | \hat{\rho}_S | k' \rangle$ is governed by $\sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}} \langle \Psi_{k',\mathbf{n}}(t) | \Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t) \rangle$ (except the part $c_k c_{k'}^$, coming from the initial state only).*

This contains a thermal average over the environment through the $\sum_{\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n}}$, as well as the feature as seen in section 3.1.4, that the coherence disappears once the states of the environment that $|k\rangle$ and $|k'\rangle$ are entangled with, have become orthogonal.

Here the coherence can in principle also disappear through the thermal average even for cases where all $\langle \Psi_{k',\mathbf{n}}(t) | \Psi_{k,\mathbf{n}}(t) \rangle$ are non-zero, since these are complex numbers.