## SOLUTIONS AND HINTS

1. Solutions of selected problems from Quiz 1

**Question 1.** Mark each of the following statements with true or false (no justification is required).

(1) Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N})$  denote the collection of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N})$  is countable.

(2) The interior of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Answer.

(1) True

(2) False

**Question 2.** Let  $A = \{\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Find  $\inf A$  and  $\sup A$ . Answer.  $\inf A = -1$  and  $\sup A = 1$ .

Question 3. Show that the following statements are equivalent

(1) The Archimedian property holds.

(2) Given any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b, there exists an  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that a < r < b.

(3) Given any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b, there exist  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a < \frac{p}{2^n} < b$ .

**Solution.** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) was done in the class. (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is easy as  $\frac{p}{2^n}$  is a rational number. We prove that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Suppose (1) holds and there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b such that for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  either  $\frac{p}{2^n} < a$  or  $b < \frac{p}{2^n}$ . For each fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $p_n$  denote the largest integer p such that  $\frac{p}{2^n} < a$ . From this, we get that

(1) 
$$\frac{p_n}{2^n} \le a < b \le \frac{p_n + 1}{2^n}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easy to verify the above inequality. For, by definition of  $p_n$ , we have  $\frac{p_n}{2^n} \leq a$ . Since  $p_n + 1 > p_n$ , it satisfies  $\frac{p_n+1}{2^n} > a$ . Now by our assumption we should have that  $\frac{p_n+1}{2^n} > b$ . Recall (1) and see that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$0 < b - a \le \frac{p_n + 1}{2^n} - \frac{p_n}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Therefore, we see that the Archimedian property fails and hence by contrapositive, we conclude that  $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ .

**Question 4** Prove that the set E of rational numbers in the interval (0, 1) cannot be expressed as the intersection of a countable collection of open sets.

Solution. Our proof is by contradiction. Suppose that

$$E = \cap_n O_n$$

where each  $O_n$  is an open set.

We can write  $E = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, ... \}.$ 

Since  $x_1 \in O_1$  there exist an open interval  $I_1$  and a closed interval  $J_1$  such that

$$x_1 \in I_1 \subseteq O_1, J_1 \subseteq I_1, \text{ and } x_1 \notin J_1.$$

Note that  $J_1$ , being an interval, contains infinitely many rationals. Take a rational number and call it  $x_2$  such that  $x_2 \neq x_1$  and  $x_2 \in J_1$ . Since  $x_2 \in O_2$ , by a similar argument as above, we see that there exist an open interval  $I_2$  and a closed interval  $J_2$  such that

$$x_2 \in I_2 \subseteq O_2, J_2 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq J_1, \text{ and } x_2 \notin J_2.$$

Continuing this process inductively we get a nested sequence  $\{J_n\}$  of nonempty closed and bounded intervals such that  $x_n \notin J_n$ ,  $\forall n$ . Use nested interval theorem to get a contradiction.

Date: September 9, 2014.